# MANIPUR INFORMATION COMMISSION <br> ROOM NO.81, SECRETARIAT NORTH BLOCK <br> GROUND FLOOR, MINISTERS BLOCK <br> IMPHAL, MANIPUR. 

NO: C/51(P)/SCIC - 2015
Imphal, September 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2015$
Complaint Case No: 51 of 2015
Shri D.K. Shimray - Complainant
-vs-
SPIO/Deputy Secretary (Hills) and another - Respondents

## Decision

Both the parties are present. Shri Amstrong Pammei, Joint Secretary (TA \& Hills) represents the SPIO/FAA.

## Brief of the Case: -

The Complainant sought some information related to 6 (six) Autonomous District Councils from the SPIO/TA \& Hills under section - 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 on 19-11-2012. Having received no information from the SPIO, he filed first appeal on 17-1-2013 claiming non disposal of his RTI application dt.19-11-12 and first appeal dt.17-1-13, he approached the Commission with a complaint petition under section - 18 of the RTI Act on 25-2-13.

## Proceedings before the Commission: -

At the outset, the SPIO submitted that reply to the RTI application has been given to the Complainant and all the information pertaining to Point No: $1,2, \& 4$ has been furnished on 14-915. On Point No: 3 he submitted that it is not feasible to furnish the information to provide monthly salary statements of all employees, Department wise from 2010 till late of RTI application (19-11-2012) as the same will be voluminous, time consuming and would require extra manual work and hence will drain out resources of the Public Authority.

The Complainant submitted that he may be provided with the information sought by him even though it is voluminous as he suspects some retired employees are still drawing salaries or otherwise, the SPIO has to act as per RTI Act, 2005 by giving proper justification for denying the information. . The SPIO agreed to give a proper reply to query No: 3 to the Complainant.

After hearing both the parties and upon perusal of records, the Commission directs the SPIO (as agreed by him) to furnish appropriate reply in respect of query No: 3 to the Complainant, giving reason and justification in case of denial of information as per the provision of the Act, within 15 (fifteen) days from the date of receipt of this order.



With the above observation, the Complainant case is disposed of. If the Complainant does not receive the reply to query No: 3 within 15 (fifteen) days he may approach the Commission for proper remedy.

Inform parties.
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